GENERAL AND SPECIAL ELECTIONS NOVEMBER 4, 1997

PRECIDITS COUNTED - 107AL		COUNT	PERCENT		COUNT	PERCENT
REGISTERED VOTERS - DEM (108,640 108,640 108,640 108,640 108,640 108,640 108,641 108,640	PRECINCTS COUNTED - TOTAL	173	100.00%	PROP 104 - CH AMEND FILLING	VACANCIES	IN THE OFFICES
REGISTRED VOTERS - OTHER 31,812		108,640				, in the offices
REGISTERED VOTERS - OTHER REGISTERED VOTERS - OTAL 214.452 79.497 37.07% COUNCIL MEMBERS COUNCIL MEMBERS COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 3 DEM - ANDERSON, JERRY OVERVOTES 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						49.36%
REGISTRED VOTERS - 10TAL 214.452				NO		43.79%
TOTAL BALLOTS CAST	REGISTERED VOTERS - OTHER					0.08%
COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 3						
COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 3	TO THE BACEOTS GAST	79,497	37.07%	TOTAL	79,497	100.00%
COUNCIL MEMBER- WARD 3	COUNCIL MEMBE					
DEM ANDERSON, JERRY	COLINCII MEMBER WARD 2					
OVERVOTES		45.044	F7 000/			
UNDERVOTES 33.883 42.37% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 5 DEM - LEAL, STEVE 42,687 53.70% OVERVOTES 0 UNDERVOTES 0 UNDER VOTES 17 1 0.00% VOERVOTES 17 1 0.00% UNDER VOTES 5,763 7.25% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% UNDER VOTES 5,763 7.25% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 6 UNDER VOTES 5,763 7.25% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 6 UNDER VOTES 5,763 7.25% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 6 UNDER VOTES 5,763 7.25% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 6 UNDER VOTES 5,763 7.25% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 6 UNDER VOTES 5,763 7.25% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 107 - CH. AMEND., COUNCIL MEMBER SALARIES REP - ROMSTADT, FRED 33,758 42,47% YES 22,15% UNDERVOTES 9,218 11,60% UNDER VOTES 35,793 42,50% NO VERVOTES 19,7497 100.00% PROP 100 - CH. AMEND., REDISTRICTING OF CITY WARDS YES 28,763 36,21% YES 28,763 36,21% YES 28,763 36,21% VES 31,660 39,83% NO OVERVOTES 19,70,497 100.00% PROP 101 - CH. AMEND., REDISTRICTING OF CITY WARDS YES 28,763 36,21% VES 31,660 39,83% NO OVERVOTES 19,769 9,81% UNDERVOTES 1,796 9,81% UNDER VOTES 1,206 1,52% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 201 - COLE AMEN., INITIATIVE, LABOR ORGANIZATIONS PROP 201 - COLE AMEN., INITIATIVE, WATER OUALITY STANDARDS PROP 201 - COLE AMEN., INITIATIVE, WATER OUALITY STANDARDS NO OVERVOTES 1,206 1,52% UNDERVOTES 1,206 1,52% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MAYOR'S VOTE FOR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMERGENCY MEASURES PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIATIVE, ESTABLISHMENT OF MINIMUM WAGE IN THE CITY YES 21,414 26,94% NO OVERVOTES 1,476 0,10% UNDERVOTES			57.63%			
TOTAL 79,497 100.00% 1		-	42 270/			
COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 5						
DEM - LEAL, STEVE		10,451	100,0076	TOTAL	79,497	100.00%
DEM - LEAL, STEVE 42,687 53,70% YES 48,689 61,25% OVERVOTES 0 0 NO NO OVERVOTES 71 10,09% OVERVOTES 71 OVERVOTES 71 OVERVOTES 71 OVERVOTES 71 OVERVOTES 71 OVERVOTES 70,497 OVERVOTES 70,497 OVERVOTES 70,497 OVERVOTES 70,497 OVERVOTES 70,497 OVERVOTES 74,494 S6,56% OVERVOTES 74,494 S6,56% OVERVOTES 74,494 OVERVOTES 74,494 OVERVOTES 74,494 OVERVOTES 74,494 OVERVOTES 74,494 OVERVOTES 74,497 OVERVOTES	COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 5			PROP 106 - CH AMEND STATUS	CRIMES	
OVERVOTES 0	DEM - LEAL, STEVE	42,687	53.70%			61 25%
UNDERVOTES 79.87 100.00% TOTAL 79.97 100.00% TOTAL 79.97 100.00% COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 6 DEM - HUGHES, ALISON 31.850 40.07% REP - RONSTADT, FRED 33.755 42.47% LBT - DOUGHERTY, DAN STARR 4.454 5.69% UNDERVOTES 9.218 11.60% UNDERVOTES 9.25.52 32.18% OVERVOTES 10.846 13.84% UNDERVOTES 10.846 16.566 58.56% UNDERVOTES 1.788 9.81% UNDERVOTES 1.788 1.784 UNDERVOTES 1.784 14 26.84% VES 1.784 1.784 UNDERVOTES 1.784 1.784 UNDERVOTES 1.784 1.784 UNDERVOTES 1.784 1.784 UNDERVOTES 1.786 1.784 UNDERVOTES 1.786 1.784 UNDERVOTES 1.785 1.785 UNDERVOTES 1.785 1.785 UNDERVOTES 1.786 1.786 UNDERVOTES 1.786 1.785 UNDERVOTES 1.785 UNDERVOTES 1.786 1.785 UNDERVOTES 1.786 1.786 UNDERVOTES 1.786	OVERVOTES	0				
TOTAL 79,497 100,00% COUNCIL MEMBER - WARD 6 DEM - HUGHES, ALISON 31,850 40,07%		36,810	46.30%			
DEM - HUGHES, ALISON 31,850 40,07% PROP 107 - CH. AMEND., COUNCIL MEMBER SALISIN REP - RONSTADT, FRED 33,756 42,47% YES 33,793 42,51%	TOTAL	79,497	100.00%	UNDER VOTES		
DEM. HUGHES, ALISON 31,850 40,07% PROP 107 - CH. AMEND., COUNCIL MEMBER SALARIES REP. RONISTADT, FRED 33,756 42,47% YES 33,793 42,51% A2,51%				TOTAL		
REP - RONSTADT, FRED 133,756 42,47% PROPOSITIONS PROPO						
REP - RONSTAOT, FRED LET - DOUGHERTY, DAN STARR 4, 454		31,850	40.07%	PROP 107 - CH. AMEND., COUNC	IL MEMBER S	ALARIES
OVERVOTES 9.212 0.27% UNDER VOTES 76 0.10% UNDER VOTES 3.539 4.45% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% TOTAL		33,756	42.47%			
UNDERVOTES 1.26 11.66% 11.66% 10.00%		4,454	5.60%	NO	42,089	52.94%
PROPOSITIONS		212	0.27%	OVERVOTES	76	0.10%
PROP 100 - CH. AMEND., REDISTRICTING OF CITY WARDS YES 28,783 36,21% NO 25,582 32,18% OVERVOTES 110 0.00% 10,846 13,64% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 101 - CH. AMEND., PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS YES 31,660 39,83% NO 29,455 116 0.15% UNDERVOTES 1,206 1,52% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MAYOR'S VOTE FOR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMERGENCY MEASURES YES 29,455 37.05% NO 38,009 47,81% NO 38,009 47,81% NO 55,554 71,14% OVERVOTES 11,886 14,95% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS NO 0.00% 0.00% 0.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS NO 0.00% 0.00		9,218	11.60%	UNDER VOTES	3,539	4.45%
PROP 100 - CH. AMEND., REDISTRICTING OF CITY WARDS YES NO	TOTAL	79,490	100.00%	TOTAL	79,497	100.00%
PROP 100 - CH. AMEND., REDISTRICTING OF CITY WARDS YES NO	PROPOSITIONS			PROP 200 OU AMEND INITIATI	VE 1 1000 0	DOLLUZIZIONO
PROP 100 - CH. AMEND., REDISTRICTING OF CITY WARDS	The continue				VE, LABOR O	RGANIZATIONS
YES	PROP 100 - CH AMEND REDISTRICTING OF C	TTY WADDS			00.700	22.2421
NO			54.00%			
OVERVOTES 140 0.18% UNDER VOTES 4,310 5.42% UNDER VOTES 10,846 13.64% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% TO	NO					
UNDERVOTES 10,846 13,64% 79,497 100.00% PROP 101 - CH. AMEND., PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS YES 31,992 40,24% YES 31,660 39,83% NO 46,556 58,56% OVERVOTES 1,798 9,81% UNDER VOTES 1,206 1,52% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MAYOR'S VOTE FOR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMERGENCY MEASURES NO OVERVOTES 14,414 26,94% NO 56,554 71,14% OVERVOTES 11,886 14,95% UNDERVOTES 11,886 14,95% UNDERVOTES 1,145 1,19% UNDERVOTES 1,145 1,19% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS 16,804 14,95% NO 56,554 71,14% OVERVOTES 1,206 1,32% UNDERVOTES 1,206 1,33% UNDERVOTES 1,206 1,33% UNDERVOTES 1,206 1,33% UNDERVOTES 1,207 1,14% OVERVOTES 1,207 1,20	OVERVOTES					
TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 101 - CH. AMEND., PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS YES NO OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MAYOR'S VOTE FOR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMERGENCY MEASURES YES NO OVERVOTES 11,866 13,982 40,24% YES 131,660 39,83% NO OVERVOTES 116 0,15% OVERVOTES 17,798 9,81% UNDER VOTES 1,206 1,52% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MAYOR'S VOTE FOR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMERGENCY MEASURES YES NO OVERVOTES 11,866 14,7 0,18% OVERVOTES 11,866 14,95% TOTAL OVERVOTES 11,866 14,95% TOTAL PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS NO OVERVOTES 11,866 14,95% TOTAL PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS NO OVERVOTES 1,25,72 31,79% YES NO OVERVOTES 1,405 1,79% 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS NO OVERVOTES 1,405 1,79% 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS NO OVERVOTES 1,405 1,79% 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS NO OVERVOTES 1,405 1,79% 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS NO OVERVOTES 1,405 1,79% 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS NO OVERVOTES 1,405 1,79% 100.00% PROP 104 - FRANCHISE, GST TUCSON LIGHTWAVE, INC. YES 53,085 66,78% NO OVERVOTES 5,99% NO OVERVOTES 5,99% UNDER VOTES 5,698 7,17%	UNDERVOTES					
PROP 101 - CH. AMEND., PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS YES 31,660 39,83% NO 46,556 58,55% NO 46,103 57,99% NO 46,103 46,103 57,99% NO 46,103	TOTAL			TOTAL	15,451	100.0078
NO	PROP 101 - CH. AMEND., PROCUREMENT CONTRACTS			PROP 201- CODE AMEN., INITIATIVE, WATER QUALITY STANDARDS		
NO	YES	INACIS		STANDARDS	,	PONCITI
UNDERVOTES 7,798 9.81% UNDER VOTES 1,206 1.52% 100.00% PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MAYOR'S VOTE FOR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMERGENCY MEASURES YES 29,455 37.05% YES 21,414 26.94% NO 56,554 71.14% OVERVOTES 11,866 14.95% UNDERVOTES 104 0.13% UNDERVOTES 11,866 14.95% UNDERVOTES 11,866 14.95% UNDER VOTES 14.25 1.79% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS 25,272 31.79% YES 53,085 66,78% NO OVERVOTES 61 0.08% UNDERVOTES 10.00% OVERVOTES 5.085 66,78% NO OVERVOTES 5.085 66,78% NO OVERVOTES 5.085 10.08% UNDERVOTES 5.085 66,78% NO OVERVOTES 5.698 7.17% OVERVOTES 5.698 7.17%		31,992	40.24%			
TOTAL 79,497 100.00% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MAYOR'S VOTE FOR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMERGENCY MEASURES YES 29,455 37.05% YES 21,414 26,94% NO 56,554 71,14% OVERVOTES 11,886 14,95% UNDER VOTES 104 0.13% UNDER VOTES 11,886 14,95% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS 25,272 31,79% YES 53,085 66,78% NO 46,103 57,99% NO 20,653 25,99% OVERVOTES 10,10% UNDER VOTES 10,00% OVERVOTES 10,00% YES 53,085 66,78% NO OVERVOTES 10,00% OVERVOTES 10,		31,992 39,591	49.80%	YES	31,660	39.83%
PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MAYOR'S VOTE FOR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMERGENCY MEASURES YES 29,455 37.05% YES 21,414 26,94% NO 56,554 71,14% OVERVOTES 11,886 14,95% UNDER VOTES 11,886 14,95% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS 25,272 31,79% YES 53,085 66,78% NO 0VERVOTES 10,400,79,497 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS YES NO 0VERVOTES 51,085 66,78% NO 0VERVOTES 51,085 66,78% NO 0VERVOTES 51,085 66,78% NO 0VERVOTES 51,085 61,008% UNDER VOTES 8,043 10,12% UNDER VOTES 5,598 7,17%	OVERVOTES	31,992 39,591 116	49.80% 0.15%	YES NO	31,660 46,556	39.83% 58.56%
REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMERGENCY MEASURES	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES	31,992 39,591 116 7,798	49.80% 0.15% 9.81%	YES NO OVERVOTES	31,660 46,556 75	39.83% 58.56% 0.09%
REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMERGENCY MEASURES	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES	31,992 39,591 116 7,798	49.80% 0.15% 9.81%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES	31,660 46,556 75 1,206	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52%
YES 29,455 37.05% YES 21,414 26,94% NO 38,009 47.81% NO 56,554 71.14% NO NO NO NO NO NO NO N	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497	49.80% 0.15% 9.81%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00%
NO OVERVOTES 11,886 14,95% NO OVERVOTES 10,40 1,13% UNDERVOTES 11,145 1,179% TOTAL 79,497 100,00% TOTAL 79,497 100	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MA	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497	49.80% 0.15% 9.81%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIATI	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00%
OVERVOTES 1147 0.18% OVERVOTES 104 0.13% UNDER VOTES 104 0.13% UNDER VOTES 104 0.13% UNDER VOTES 1.425 1.79% TOTAL 79.497 100.00% TOTAL 79.497 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS 25.272 31.79% YES 53.085 66.78% NO VERVOTES 46.103 57.99% NO VERVOTES 53.085 66.78% OVERVOTES 79 0.10% OVERVOTES 61 0.08% UNDER VOTES 5.698 7.17%	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE M. REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMER	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497 AYOR'S VOTE FOR GENCY MEASURES	49.80% 0.15% 9.81% 100.00%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIAT! MINIMUM WAGE IN THE CITY	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497 VE, ESTABLIS	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00%
UNDERVOTES 11,886 14,95% UNDER VOTES 1,425 1,79% TOTAL 79,497 100,00% TOTAL 79,497 100,00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS YES NO 46,103 57,99% NO 20,653 25,98% OVERVOTES 79 0,10% OVERVOTES 61 0,08% UNDERVOTES 8,043 10,12% UNDER VOTES 5,698 7,17%	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE M. REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMER YES	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497 AYOR'S VOTE FOR GENCY MEASURES 29,455	49.80% 0.15% 9.81% 100.00%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIATI' MINIMUM WAGE IN THE CITY YES	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497 VE, ESTABLIS	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00% SHMENT OF
TOTAL 79,497 100.00% TOTAL 79,497 100.00% PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS YES 25,272 31,79% YES 53,085 66,78% NO 46,103 57,99% NO 20,653 25,98% OVERVOTES 79 0,10% OVERVOTES 61 0,08% UNDERVOTES 8,043 10,12% UNDER VOTES 5,698 7,17%	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE M. REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMER YES NO	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497 AYOR'S VOTE FOR GENCY MEASURES 29,455 38,009	49.80% 0.15% 9.81% 100.00% 37.05% 47.81%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIAT! MINIMUM WAGE IN THE CITY YES NO	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497 VE, ESTABLIS 21,414 56,554	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00% SHMENT OF 26.94% 71.14%
PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFFICERS YES NO OVERVOTES 8,043 10,124 PROP 400 - FRANCHISE, GST TUCSON LIGHTWAVE, INC. YES 53,085 66,784 NO OVERVOTES 61 0,086 UNDERVOTES 5,698 7,176	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE M. REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMER YES NO OVERVOTES	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497 AYOR'S VOTE FOR GENCY MEASURES 29,455 38,009 147	49.80% 0.15% 9.81% 100.00% 37.05% 47.81% 0.18%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIATI' MINIMUM WAGE IN THE CITY YES NO OVERVOTES	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497 VE, ESTABLIS 21,414 56,554 104	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00% SHMENT OF 26.94% 71.14% 0.13%
YES 25,272 31,79% YES 53,085 66,78% NO 20,653 25,98% OVERVOTES 79 0,10% OVERVOTES 61 0,08% UNDERVOTES 8,043 10,12% UNDER VOTES 5,698 7,17%	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMER YES NO OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497 AYOR'S VOTE FOR GENCY MEASURES 29,455 38,009 147 11,886	49.80% 0.15% 9.81% 100.00% 37.05% 47.81% 0.18% 14.95%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIATI' MINIMUM WAGE IN THE CITY YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497 VE, ESTABLIS 21,414 56,554 104 1,425	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00% 6HMENT OF 26.94% 71.14% 0.13% 1.79%
NO 46,103 57,99% NO 20,653 25,98% OVERVOTES 79 0.10% OVERVOTES 61 0.08% UNDERVOTES 8,043 10.12% UNDER VOTES 5,698 7,17%	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE M. REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMER YES NO OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497 AYOR'S VOTE FOR GENCY MEASURES 29,455 38,009 147 11,886 79,497	49.80% 0.15% 9.81% 100.00% 37.05% 47.81% 0.18% 14.95%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIATI' MINIMUM WAGE IN THE CITY YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497 VE, ESTABLIS 21,414 56,554 104 1,425 79,497	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00% SHMENT OF 26.94% 71.14% 0.13% 1.79% 100.00%
OVERVOTES 79 0.10% OVERVOTES 61 0.08% UNDERVOTES 8,043 10.12% UNDER VOTES 5,698 7.17%	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMER YES NO OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFF	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497 AYOR'S VOTE FOR GENCY MEASURES 29,455 38,009 147 11,886 79,497	49.80% 0.15% 9.81% 100.00% 37.05% 47.81% 0.18% 14.95% 100.00%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIATI' MINIMUM WAGE IN THE CITY YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 400 - FRANCHISE, GST TUC	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497 VE, ESTABLIS 21,414 56,554 1,425 79,497	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00% SHMENT OF 26.94% 71.14% 0.13% 1.79% 100.00% VAVE, INC.
UNDERVOTES 8,043 10.12% UNDER VOTES 5,698 7.17%	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMER YES NO OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFF YES	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497 AYOR'S VOTE FOR GENCY MEASURES 29,455 38,009 147 11,886 79,497	49.80% 0.15% 9.81% 100.00% 37.05% 47.81% 0.18% 14.95% 100.00%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIATI' MINIMUM WAGE IN THE CITY YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 400 - FRANCHISE, GST TUC YES	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497 VE, ESTABLIS 21,414 56,554 104 1,425 79,497	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00% SHMENT OF 26.94% 71.14% 0.13% 1.79% 100.00% VAVE, INC. 66.78%
-1-1- WHATE SHOCK FOLD 5,030 1.17 M	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MAREMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMER YES NO OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFF YES NO	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497 AYOR'S VOTE FOR GENCY MEASURES 29,455 38,009 147 11,886 79,497 FICERS 25,272 46,103	49.80% 0.15% 9.81% 100.00% 37.05% 47.81% 0.18% 14.95% 100.00%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIATI' MINIMUM WAGE IN THE CITY YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 400 - FRANCHISE, GST TUC YES NO	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497 VE, ESTABLIS 21,414 56,554 104 1,425 79,497 CSON LIGHTV 53,085 20,653	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00% SHMENT OF 26.94% 71.14% 0.13% 1.79% 100.00% VAVE, INC. 66.78% 25.98%
79,497 100.00% TOTAL 79,497 100.00%	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMER YES NO OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFF YES NO OVERVOTES	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497 AYOR'S VOTE FOR GENCY MEASURES 29,455 38,009 147 11,886 79,497 FICERS 25,272 46,103 79	49.80% 0.15% 9.81% 100.00% 37.05% 47.81% 0.18% 14.95% 100.00% 31.79% 57.99% 0.10%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIATI' MINIMUM WAGE IN THE CITY YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 400 - FRANCHISE, GST TUC YES NO OVERVOTES	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497 VE, ESTABLIS 21,414 56,554 104 1,425 79,497 CSON LIGHTV 53,085 20,653	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00% 6HMENT OF 26.94% 71.14% 0.13% 1.79% 100.00% VAVE, INC. 66.78% 25.98% 0.08%
	OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 102 - CH. AMEND., RELATING TO THE MR REMOVAL OF CITY OFFICERS AND FOR EMER YES NO OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES TOTAL PROP 103 - CH. AMEND., CITY APPOINTED OFF YES NO OVERVOTES UNDERVOTES UNDERVOTES	31,992 39,591 116 7,798 79,497 AYOR'S VOTE FOR GENCY MEASURES 29,455 38,009 147 11,886 79,497 FICERS 25,272 46,103 79 8,043	49.80% 0.15% 9.81% 100.00% 37.05% 47.81% 0.18% 14.95% 100.00% 31.79% 57.99% 0.10% 10.12%	YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 202 - CH. AMEND., INITIATI' MINIMUM WAGE IN THE CITY YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES TOTAL PROP 400 - FRANCHISE, GST TUC YES NO OVERVOTES UNDER VOTES UNDER VOTES UNDER VOTES	31,660 46,556 75 1,206 79,497 VE, ESTABLIS 21,414 56,554 104 1,425 79,497 CSON LIGHTV 53,085 20,653 61	39.83% 58.56% 0.09% 1.52% 100.00% SHMENT OF 26.94% 71.14% 0.13% 1.79% 100.00% VAVE, INC. 66.78% 25.98% 0.08% 7.17%

Attachment 1

*See Attachment 3, Ward 6 Tally Board canvass, for Ward 6 official results.

Due to a defect in the ballot cards, with the exception of the Ward VI Tally Board canvass, the undervotes in the Precinct Reports are over stated.

WARD 6 TALLY BOARD

FINAL CUMULATIVE REPORT

Attachment 3

November 16, 1997

	Tally Board Results	Absentee	TBV Totals	Damaged Totals	Cumulative Total	Tally Board %
Alison Hughes	27,468	3,593	723	66	31,850	40.07%
Fred Ronstadt	28,677	4,163	837	79	33,756	42.47%
Dan Starr Dougherty	4,010	315	116	13	4,454	5.60%
OverVote	176	30	6	0	212	0.27%
UnderVote	7,345	1,601	236	36	9,218	11.60%
Sub-Total	67,676	9,702	1,918	194	79,490	100.00%
Number of Voting Areas Tabulated	173					